UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,790	06/23/2003	Peter Meerwald	282562US8X	1686
	7590 04/21/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	REET	PHAM, THIERRY L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/602,790	MEERWALD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	THIERRY L. PHAM	2625							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of extensions of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amount or the c	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropria	en. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee						
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);							
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without cancelling a company of the present additional claims without cancelling a company of the present additional claims without cancelling a company of the present additional claims without cancelling a company of the present additional claims without cancelling a company of the present additional claims without cancelling a company of the present additional claims. 			ne issues for						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	serresponding number of imany toje	otou olamio.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [·	•	-						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.	i pe entered and an e.	хріапацоп от						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20,22-25 and 27-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	, , , , ,	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
/Edward L. Coles/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625									

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicants have been considered, but are not persuasive.

With respect to claim 23, the applicants argued the cited prior arts of record fail to teach and/or suggest "in advance of finalizing said graphic data for said disk label, a disk label printing area is determined in dependence on a disk category and a disk type selected by a user, and wherein, during the process of generating said graphic data all instructions recognized as leading to the generation of printing data which cannot be assigned to the determined disk label printing area are blocked".

In response, the examiner fully disagrees. First of all, Van Valer teaches a method and a system for implementing and printing disk label on plurality of optical disk types (e.g. CD/DVD, CD-R, CD-RW, and etc, cols. 4-5). Notes: disk category and disk type is broadly define and not specifically relating to size, therefore, in broadest interpretation, the examiner herein interprets plurality of disk formats (e.g. DVD/CD) meet the broad definition of disk category and disk type. Furthermore, seconddary reference (as taught by McFarland) clearly teaches a method and a system for eliminating image data or blocks of data that are beyond the disk size from printing. For example, if image data (in term of size) to be printed on a surface of the disk label is larger than the surface of the disk, therefore, it would have been obvious to eliminate the extra (or extended) image data from printing or one of ordinary skill in the art simply adjusts and/or reduce the image size so it can fit onto the disk surface without losing any parts/blocks of image data. Also notes: adjusting image size are well-known and widely implemented in the art. Example as taught by McFarland can be implemented any image on any disk size. For example, an image is originally designed for 16mm disk, but the actual disk size is 8mm (surface to be printed on), then one of ordinary skill in the art can either eliminate the extra blocks from printing or adjust the image size so it allows all the contents can be printed on the 8mm disk surface.

/Edward L. Coles Sr/